

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/698,404	10/27/2000	Uwe Schumann	BEIERSDORF 661-WCG	5395	
75	90 01/28/2003				
Norris McLaughlin & Marcus, P.A.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
220 EAST 42N NEW YORK, N	D STREET, 30TH FLOC IY 10017	DR .	CHAN, SING P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734		
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003		

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ANTEST				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/698,404	SCHUMANN ET AL.				
	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Sing P Chan	1734				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address						
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:	Cingin.					
RICH	HARD CRISPINO DRY PATER'T EXAMINER BLOGY GENERAL COO	Chan Sing 6				

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The applicant's argument has overcome the 102(b) rejection of Edenbaum (U.S. 4,675,232), wherein Edembaum discloses the application of the polyurethane dispersion comprise of a polyol and isocyanate to an pressure sensitive adhesive coated backing.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Schumann et al (U.S. 6,129,983) is considered to disclose a continuous process because the method is for forming an adhesive tape and the method required the polyurethane composition be cured or crossliked by passing it through a drying tunnel. (Col 4, lines 8-12) A process for forming a tape and uses a drying tunnel is considered to satisfied the continuous process requirement. Also Schumann et al does disclose the isocyanate paste is coated onto paper and cured and store for one week. However, Schumann et al also discloses the backings having certain properly afte storage for one week and one in the art would consider Schumann et al is providing the properties of the back after prolong storage and not requiring the process to stop for one week. The combination of Schumann et al and the admitted prior art does disclose applicant's invention in claim 8.